#### BEFORE THE

# BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, LAND SURVEYORS, AND GEOLOGISTS DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation against:	)	
GLEN R. DIXON 1700 Hamner Lane, Suite 112 Norco, CA 92860	) ) )	Case No. 902-A
Land Surveyor License No. L 6251,	)	
Respondent.	)	
<u>D</u>	DECISION	

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board for Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors, and Geologists as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective on April 13, 2012

IT IS SO ORDERED March 8, 2012

Original Signed

BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, LAND SURVEYORS, AND GEOLOGISTS Department of Consumer Affairs State of California

- 1			
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	RITA M. LANE		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 171352		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2614 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, LAND SURVEYORS, AND		
10	GEOLOGISTS DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 902-A		
13	GLEN R. DIXON  OAH No. 2011060689		
	1700 Hamner Lane, Suite 112		
14	LICENSE AND ORDER		
15	Land Surveyor No. L 6251		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties in this		
19	proceeding that the following matters are true:		
20	PARTIES		
21	1. Richard B. Moore, PLS (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board for		
22	Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors, and Geologists. He brought this action solely in his		
23	official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the		
24	State of California, by Rita M. Lane, Deputy Attorney General.		
25	2. Respondent Glen R. Dixon is representing himself in this proceeding and has chosen		
26	not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel.		
27	3. On or about December 1, 1989, the Board for Professional Engineers, Land		
28	Surveyors, and Geologists issued Land Surveyor No. L 6251 to Glen R. Dixon (Respondent).		

The Land Surveyor License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Second Amended Accusation No. 902-A and expired on June 30, 2010.

#### JURISDICTION

4. Second Amended Accusation No. 902-A was filed before the Board for Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors, and Geologists (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Second Amended Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on November 8, 2011. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Second Amended Accusation No. 902-A is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

#### **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS**

- Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Second Amended Accusation No. 902-A. Respondent also has carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

#### **CULPABILITY**

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Second Amended Accusation No. 902-A, agrees that cause exists for discipline and hereby surrenders his Land Surveyor No. L 6251 for the Board's formal acceptance.
- 9. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of his Land Surveyor license without further process.

#### **CONTINGENCY**

- 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board for Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors, and Geologists. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board for Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors, and Geologists may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

#### <u>ORDER</u>

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Land Surveyor No. L 6251, issued to Respondent Glen R. Dixon, is surrendered and accepted by the Board for Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors, and Geologists.

1. The surrender of Respondent's Land Surveyor License and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent.

1 2 3

/// ///

This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board for Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors, and Geologists.

- Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a Licensed Land Surveyor in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board his pocket license and, if one was issued, his wall certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 4. If Respondent ever files an application for licensure or a petition for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked license in effect at the time the petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Second Amended Accusation No. 902-A shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the petition.

#### ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Land Surveyor License. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board for Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors, and Geologists.

DATED: January 13 2012 Original Signed
GLEN R. DINON
Respondent

Stipulated Surrender of License (Case No. 902-A)

### **ENDORSEMENT** The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board for Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors, and Geologists of the Department of Consumer Affairs. 1-13-12 Respectfully submitted, Dated: KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General Original Signed RITA M. LANE Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant SD2009805094 80594147.doc

## Exhibit A

Second Amended Accusation No. 902-A

1	Edmund G. Brown Jr.		
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General RITA M. LANE		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 171352		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2614 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, LAND SURVEYORS AND GEOLOGISTS		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 902-A		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:  Case No. 902-A  GLEN R. DIXON		
13	1700 Hamner Lane, Suite 112 Norco, CA 92860  SECOND AMENDED ACCUSATION		
14	Land Surveyor License No. L 6251		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	PARTIES		
20	1. Richard B. Moore, PLS (Complainant) brings this Second Amended Accusation		
21	solely in his official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board for Professional Engineers,		
22	Land Surveyors and Geologists (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs. This Second		
23	Amended Accusation supersedes and replaces the former First Amended Accusation that was		
24	filed on April 8, 2011 in this case.		
25	2. On or about December 1, 1989, the Board issued Land Surveyor License No. L 6251		
26	to Glen R. Dixon (Respondent). The Land Surveyor License was in full force and effect at all		
27	times relevant to the charges brought herein and expired on June 30, 2010.		
28			
	1		

#### PRIOR DISCIPLINE

3. On August 21, 2007, in a prior disciplinary matter before the Board, Respondent was issued Citation Order 5216, wherein Respondent was ordered to cease and desist violating Business and Professions Code section 8771 and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 464(c). An investigation determined that Respondent failed to reset monuments that were destroyed during construction located at the centerline of Temescal Canyon Road from Cajalco Road North to La Gloria Street in Section 16, T.4S., R.6W, S.B.B. & M and that he failed to file a Corner Record. Respondent was also ordered to pay an administrative fine of \$1,000. To date, Respondent has failed to comply with Citation Order 5216-L and has failed to pay the fine.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 4. This Second Amended Accusation is brought before the Board for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 5. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
  - 6. Code section 8780 states, in pertinent part, that

The Board may receive and investigate complaints against licensed land surveyors and registered civil engineers, and make findings thereon. By majority vote, the Board may reprove, suspend for a period not to exceed two years, or revoke the license or certificate of any licensed land surveyor licensed under this chapter whom it finds to be guilty of:

. . .

- (b) Any negligence or incompetence in his or her practice of land surveying.
- (d) Any violation of any provision of this chapter or of any other law relating to or involving the practice of land surveying.

. . .

(g) A breach or violation of a contract to provide land surveying services.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

1	10. Code section 8767 states:	
2	If the county surveyor finds that the record of survey complies with the	
3	examination in Section 8766, the county surveyor shall endorse a statement on it of his or her examination, and shall present it to the county recorder for filing.	
4	Otherwise the county surveyor shall return it to the person who presented it, together with a written statement of the changes necessary to make it conform to	
5	the requirements of Section 8766. The licensed land surveyor or registered civil engineer submitting the record of survey may then make the agreed changes and	
6	note those matters which cannot be agreed upon in accordance with the provisions of Section 8768 and shall resubmit the record of survey within 60 days, or within	
7 8	the time as may be mutually agreed upon by the licensed surveyor or registered engineer and the county surveyor, to the county surveyor for filing pursuant to Section 8768.	
9	REGULATIONS	
10	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, Code section 464 states in pertinent part:	
11		
12	(c) The corner record shall be filed within 90 days from the date a corner	
13	was found, set, reset, or used as control in any survey. The provision for extending the time limit shall be the same as provided for a record of survey in Section 8762	
14	of the Code.	
15	12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, Code section 473.3 provides in pertinent	
16	part:	
17		
18	(b) Failure of the cited person to abate the violation or to pay the fine within the time allowed is grounds for suspension or revocation of the cited person's	
19	license.	
20	COST RECOVERY	
21	COST RECOVERY	
22	13. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the	
23	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of	
24	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and	
25	enforcement of the case.	
26		
27		
28		
	4	

#### MYLES C. PROJECT

- 14. In September 2004, Myles C. entered into a contract with Respondent for land surveying services. Respondent was to submit a lot line adjustment to Riverside County. Respondent's contract did not contain language regarding how the contract could be terminated or additional services added. On or about January 14, 2005, Respondent advised Myles C. that the work had been completed and the lot line adjustment had been submitted to the County. Myles C. paid Respondent \$4,500 for this alleged work. Myles C. later learned that Respondent had failed to file the adjustment with the County, leading to project delays for Myles C.
- 15. On or about April 24, 2007, Respondent admitted to an investigator for the Department of Consumer Affairs, Division of Investigation, that he failed to complete the work on the Myles C. project and that his contract did not contain the language required by law regarding how the contract could be terminated. Respondent also told the investigator that he would be willing to refund to Myles C. the \$4,500 he accepted for the job, but to date, Respondent has failed to do so.

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Breach of Contract to Provide Land Surveying Services)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8780(g) in that he breached his contract to provide land surveying services on the Myles C. project when Respondent failed to submit a lot line adjustment to Riverside County on the Myles C. project as set forth in paragraphs 14 and 15 above and incorporated herein by reference.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Statutory Violations-Contract Requirements)

- 17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8780(d) in that Respondent violated provisions of this chapter in that Respondent did not meet the requirements for contract preparation on the Myles C. project as follows:
  - (a) Pursuant to Code section 8759(a)(4), Respondent failed to include in the written contract for the Myles C. project, a description of the procedure to be used to accommodate additional services.

(b) Pursuant to Code section 8759(a)(5), Respondent failed to include in the written contract for the Myles C. project, a description of the procedure to be used to terminate the contract.

#### CITY OF CHINO HILLS PROJECT

- 18. From November 2002 through March 2005, the City of Chino Hills contracted with Respondent to complete 13 land surveys and prepare Records of Survey for the properties. Of the 13 land surveys, one was completed, one needed minor corrections to the legal description, and ten needed to be recorded with the San Bernardino County Recorder in order to be complete. On one survey, the City was waiting for a duplicate of the original survey that was lost by a title company when it was submitted to them for recording of a sale of property. Per the contract, Respondent was paid in full for all surveys except one, which was inadvertently not paid. The City had to pay an additional \$1,500 to another surveyor to make corrections to the legal description of one of Respondent's surveys due to Respondent's failure to resubmit the survey to the County within a 60 day time limit. Respondent's contracts on the City of Chino Hills project did not contain language regarding how the contracts could be terminated or how additional services could be added. The contracts also lacked Respondent's license number.
- 19. On or about April 24, 2007, Respondent admitted to an investigator for the Department of Consumer Affairs, Division of Investigation, that he had failed to complete the City of Chino Hills projects by failing to file the records of survey with the County in the specified timeframes.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Breach of Contract to Provide Land Surveying Services)

20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8780(g) in that he breached his contract to provide land surveying services to the City of Chino Hills when Respondent failed to file the records of survey with the County in the specified timeframes on 10 land surveys in the City of Chino Hills project as set forth in paragraphs 18 and 19 above and incorporated herein by reference.

///

#### FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Statutory Violations-Contract Requirements)

- 21. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8780(d) in that Respondent violated provisions of this chapter in that Respondent did not meet the requirements for contract preparation on the City of Chino Hills project as follows:
  - (a) Pursuant to Code section 8759(a)(3), Respondent failed to include his license number in the 13 written contracts for the City of Chino Hills project.
  - (b) Pursuant to Code section 8759(a)(4), Respondent failed to include a description of the procedure to be used to accommodate additional services in the 13 written contracts for the City of Chino Hills project.
  - (c) Pursuant to Code section 8759(a)(5), Respondent failed to include a description of the procedure to be used to terminate the contract in the 13 written contracts for the City of Chino Hills project.
  - (d) Pursuant to Code section 8767, Respondent failed to submit Records of Survey within sixty days for 10 of the written contracts for the City of Chino Hills project.

#### PRESTIGE DEVELOPERS, INC. CONTRACTS

- 22. On February 15, 2006, Respondent contracted with Prestige Developers, Inc. to perform land surveying services and file a Record of Survey for the property located at 2782 Richard Avenue in Cayucos, California (Richard Avenue project). On or about April 24, 2007, Respondent admitted to an investigator for the Department of Consumer Affairs, Division of Investigation, that he did not execute a written contract for the Richard Avenue project.
- 23. On February 23, 2006, Respondent contracted with Prestige Developers, Inc. to perform land surveying services and provide corrections to the County of Riverside on a Lot Line Adjustment for the Petri tow yard project. On or about April 24, 2007, Respondent admitted to an investigator for the Department of Consumer Affairs, Division of Investigation, that he did not execute a written contract for the Petri tow yard project.
- 24. On March 13, 2006, Respondent contracted with Prestige Developers, Inc. to perform land surveying services and file a Record of Survey for the property located at 22530 Aloha Road

in Perris, California (Aloha Road project). On or about April 24, 2007, Respondent admitted to an investigator for the Department of Consumer Affairs, Division of Investigation, that he did not execute a written contract for the Aloha Road project.

- 25. On March 29, 2006, Respondent contracted with Prestige Developers, Inc. to perform land surveying services and file a Record of Survey for the property located at 67399 Palm Canyon Drive in Cathedral City, California (Palm Canyon project). The Record of Survey that Respondent filed with the County of Riverside was returned to Respondent by the County for numerous corrections. Respondent never completed the corrections or resubmitted the Record of Survey. Respondent was paid in full (\$4,500) for his services.
- 26. On or about April 24, 2007, Respondent admitted to an investigator for the Department of Consumer Affairs, Division of Investigation, that he discontinued working on the Palm Canyon project after not being paid by Prestige Developers, Inc. on a prior project. Respondent also admitted that he should have completed the Palm Canyon project and that he did not execute a written contract for that project.

#### FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Breach of Contract to Provide Land Surveying Services)

27. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8780(g) in that he breached his contract to provide land surveying services to Prestige Developers, Inc. on the Palm Canyon project when Respondent failed to complete the corrections recommended by the County of Riverside and failed to resubmit a corrected Record of Survey to the County as set forth in paragraphs 22 through 26 above and incorporated herein by reference.

#### SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Statutory Violations-Contract Requirements)

28. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8780(d) in that Respondent violated provisions of this chapter in that Respondent did not meet the requirements for contract preparation on the Prestige Developers, Inc. contracts as follows:

27 | ///

28 | ///

- (a) Pursuant to Code section 8759(a), Respondent failed to execute written contracts to provide land surveying services on the Richard Avenue project, Petri tow yard project, Aloha Road project, and the Palm Canyon project.
- (b) Pursuant to Code section 8767, Respondent failed to resubmit a Record of Survey to the County within sixty days for the Palm Canyon project.

#### PEDLEY ROAD SURVEY PROJECT

- 29. On January 15, 2007, Respondent prepared a Record of Survey for homeowner Jose L. at the property located at 4945 Pedley Road in Riverside County. In December of 2008, homeowner Jose L. contacted the County Surveyor in order to obtain a copy of the Record of Survey for his property at 4945 Pedley Road. At that time, Jose L. provided the County Surveyor with copies of an unrecorded letter-sized plat and an unrecorded Record of Survey that Respondent had prepared regarding the property at Pedley Road.
- 30. A field review of the site, located untagged rebar in the vicinity of four of the corners of the Pedley Road property. The County Surveyor could not locate a Record of Survey or Corner Record in its files from Respondent, either recorded or in the checking process, for the Pedley Road property.

#### SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Statutory Violations-Violation of Law)

- 31. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8780(d) in that Respondent violated provisions of this chapter in that Respondent did not comply with the law involving the practice of land surveying on the Pedley Road property as follows:
  - (a) Pursuant to Code sections 8762 and 8767, Respondent failed to submit a Record of Survey for the Pedley Road Project to the County Surveyor, within sixty days, and to date, has not submitted a Record of Survey for the property.
  - (b) Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 464, Respondent failed to file a corner record for the Pedley Road project within 90 days of the date the corner was found, set, reset or used as control in the survey. To date, Respondent has not submitted a Record of Survey for the Pedley Road property to the County Surveyor.

#### TEMECULA SURVEY PROJECT

32. Respondent prepared Record of Survey 06-093 for property located in Temecula, California and identified as APN 961-010-053. On June 9, 2006, Respondent submitted the Record of Survey to the Riverside County Surveyor's Office for review. Respondent failed to sign and date the Record of Survey. In July 2006, the Riverside County Surveyor's Office returned the Record of Survey with comments to Respondent requesting that corrections be made to the survey. Respondent failed to make corrections and resubmit the Record of Survey to the County for review. On February 25, 2010 and June 23, 2010, the Riverside County Surveyor's Office sent letters to Respondent asking him to respond to its correction requests on Record of Survey 06-093. Respondent did not respond.

#### EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Statutory Violations-Violation of Law)

- 33. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8780(d) in that Respondent violated provisions of this chapter in that Respondent did not comply with the law involving the practice of land surveying on the Temecula survey project as follows:
  - (a) Pursuant to Code sections 8762 and 8767, Respondent failed to correct and resubmit Record of Survey 06-093 for the Temecula survey project, to the Riverside County Surveyor's Office within sixty days. To date, Respondent has not resubmitted Record of Survey 06-093 for the Temecula survey project.
  - (b) Pursuant to Code section 8761, Respondent failed to include his signature and the date of signing the map on Record of Survey 06-093 for the Temecula survey project.

#### NUEVO SURVEY PROJECT

34. Respondent prepared Record of Survey 00-061 for property located in the Nuevo area of Riverside County, California and identified as APN 427-180-003. On March 30, 2001, Respondent submitted the Record of Survey to the Riverside County Surveyor's Office for review. Respondent failed to sign and date the Record of Survey. On May 4, 2004, the Riverside County Surveyor's Office returned the Record of Survey with comments to Respondent requesting that corrections be made to the survey. Respondent failed to make corrections and

resubmit the Record of Survey to the County for review. On February 25, 2010 and June 23, 2010, the Riverside County Surveyor's Office sent letters to Respondent asking him to respond to its correction requests on Record of Survey 00-061. Respondent did not respond.

#### NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Statutory Violations-Violation of Law)

- 35. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8780(d) in that Respondent violated provisions of this chapter in that Respondent did not comply with the law involving the practice of land surveying on the Nuevo survey project as follows:
  - (a) Pursuant to Code sections 8762 and 8767, Respondent failed to correct and resubmit Record of Survey 00-061 for the Nuevo survey project to the Riverside County Surveyor's Office within sixty days. To date, Respondent has not resubmitted Record of Survey 00-061 for the Nuevo survey project.
  - (b) Pursuant to Code section 8761, Respondent failed to include his signature and the date of signing the map on Record of Survey 00-061 for the Nuevo survey project.

#### TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Statutory Violations-Failure to Comply with Board Citation)

36. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 8780(d) in that Respondent violated California Code of Regulations, title 16, Code section 473.3 in that he failed to comply with Citation Order 5216-L issued to Respondent on August 21, 2007 by the Board. Respondent also failed to pay the administrative fine of \$1,000. The circumstances are more specifically set forth in paragraph 3, above, and are incorporated herein by reference.

#### DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

37. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that on August 21, 2007, in a prior disciplinary matter, the Board issued Citation Order 5216 to Respondent, wherein Respondent was ordered to cease and desist violating Code section 8771 and California Code of Regulation, title 16, Code section 464(c). Respondent failed to comply with Citation Order 5216-L and failed to pay an administrative fine of \$1,000. To date, Respondent has not complied with Citation Order 5216-L.

**PRAYER** 1 2 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors issue a 3 decision: 4 Revoking or suspending Land Surveyor License No. L 6251, issued to Glen R. 5 1. Dixon; 6 7 2. Ordering Glen R. Dixon to pay the Board for Professional Engineers and Land 8 Surveyors the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and 9 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 10 11 Original Signed 12 DATED: 11/3/11 RICHARD B. MOORE, PLS 13 **Executive Officer** Board for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors 14 Department of Consumer Affairs State of California 15 Complainant 16 SD2009805094 17 80567127.docx 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 12