BEFORE THE

BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, LAND SURVEYORS, AND GEOLOGISTS DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

	DECISION	
Respondent.)	
Land Surveyor License No. L 5775,)))	
FLORENTINO BASIT FERRER 2268 Ceciana Drive Hacienda Heights, CA 91745))	Case No. 877-A
In the Matter of the Accusation against:)	

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board for Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors, and Geologists as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective on April 13, 2012

IT IS SO ORDERED March 8, 2012

Original Signed

BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, LAND SURVEYORS, AND GEOLOGISTS Department of Consumer Affairs State of California

	HKLIJOTO SHKVEYORS, AND GEPLEJDE				
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS				
2	Attorney General of California KAREN B. CHAPPELLE				
3	SECTIVET WARD				
4	State Bul 140. 240437				
5	ll zoo i mgolos, chi joolij				
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2660 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804				
7	E-mail: Geoffrey.Ward@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant	ATTENDED SOME BALL ATTS.			
8	BEFO	RE THE			
9	BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS, LAND SURVEYORS, AND GEOLOGISTS				
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11					
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 877-A			
13	FLORENTINO BASIT FERRER	OAH No. 2010120112			
14	2268 Ceciana Drive Hacienda Heights, CA 91745	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER			
15	Land Surveyor License No. L 5775	Action on the contraction of the			
16	Respondent.				
17	Z (C Z C 2C (C X)	State tections shall passing a			
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGR	EED by and between the parties to the above-			
19	entitled proceedings that the following matters ar				
20	PAR	<u>l'ies</u>			
21	1. Richard B. Moore, PLS (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board for				
22	Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors, and Geologists. He brought this action solely in his				
23	official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the				
24	State of California, by Geoffrey Ward, Deputy Attorney General.				
25		Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by			
26	attorneys Mark B. Chassman and Jason J. Rudolph, whose address is: Chassman & Seelig, LLP,				
27	120 Broadway, Suite 300, Santa Monica, CA 90401.				
28	,	P (9)			

3. On or about December 30, 1987, the Board for Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors, and Geologists issued Land Surveyor License No. L 5775 to Florentino Basit Ferrer (Respondent). The Land Surveyor License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 877-A and will expire on June 30, 2012, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 877-A was filed before the Board for Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors, and Geologists (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on November 4, 2010. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.

A copy of Accusation No. 877-A is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 877-A. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 877-A, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Land Surveyor License.
- 9. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest those charges.
- 10. Respondent agrees that his Land Surveyor License is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CIRCUMSTANCES IN MITIGATION

11. Except as alleged in Accusation No. 877-A, Respondent Florentino Basit Ferrer has not been the subject of any disciplinary action since acquiring his license. He has presented evidence from one of his main clients attesting to his competence in the practice of land surveying on a number of projects.

CONTINGENCY

12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board for Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors, and Geologists. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board for Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors, and Geologists may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

- 13. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Land Surveyor License, No. L 5775, issued to Florentino Basit Ferrer, is revoked; however, the revocation shall be stayed, and he shall be placed on probation for a period of four (4) years upon the following terms and conditions:

- 1. Within three and one-half (3 ½) years of the effective date from the decision, the Respondent shall successfully complete and pass two (2) college-level land surveying courses, which must be related to the areas of violation alleged in the Accusation. Said courses shall be approved in advance by the Board or its designee. The Respondent shall provide the Board with official proof of completion of the requisite courses. For purposes of this condition, "college-level course" means a course offered by a community college or a four-year university of three semester units or the equivalent; it does not include seminars.
- 2. Within three and one-half (3 ½) years of the effective date from the decision, the Respondent shall successfully complete and pass a course in professional ethics, approved in advance by the Board or its designee.

- 3. Within three and one-half (3 ½) years of the effective date from the decision, the Respondent shall reimburse the Board for its investigative and enforcement costs in this matter in the amount of \$6,500. Said reimbursement may be paid in installments.
- 4. Within sixty (60) days of the effective date from the decision, the Respondent shall successfully complete and pass the California Laws and Board Rules examination, a twenty-five question multiple-choice examination, as administered by the Board.
- 5. Within thirty (30) days of the effective date from the decision, the Respondent shall provide the Board with evidence that he has provided all persons or entities with whom he has a contractual or employment relationship relating to professional land surveying services with a copy of the decision and order of the Board and shall provide the Board with the name and business address of each person or entity required to be so notified. During the period of probation, the Respondent may be required to provide the same notification to each new person or entity with whom he has a contractual or employment relationship relating to professional land surveying services and shall report to the Board the name and address of each person or entity so notified.
- 6. The Respondent shall obey all federal, state, and local laws and regulations related to the practices of professional engineering and land surveying.
 - 7. The Respondent shall submit such special reports as the Board may require.
- 8. The period of probation shall be tolled during the time the Respondent is practicing exclusively outside the state of California. If, during the period of probation, the Respondent practices exclusively outside the state of California, the Respondent shall immediately notify the Board in writing.
- 9. If the Respondent violates the probationary conditions in any respect, the Board, after giving him notice and the opportunity to be heard, may vacate the stay and reinstate the disciplinary order which was stayed. If during the period of probation, an accusation or petition to vacate stay is filed against the Respondent, or if the matter has been submitted to the Office of the Attorney General for the filing of such, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until all matters are final, and the period of probation shall be extended until all matters are final.

1	10. Upon successful completion of all of the probationary conditions and the expiration				
2	of the period of probation, the Respondent's Land Surveyor License, No. 1. 5775, shall be				
3	unconditionally restored.				
4	ACCEPTANCE				
5	I have carefully read the abo		11 0.0		
6	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully				
7	discussed it with my attorney, Mark B. Chassman. I understand the stipulation and the effect it				
	will have on my Land Surveyor License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary				
8	Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order				
9	of the Board for Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors, and Geologists.				
10	Let will a service of	Original Signed			
11	DATED: 181:20, 2012	FLORENTINO BASIT FERRER			
12	men soule in out at a sour	Respondent			
13		ed with Respondent Florentino Basit Ferrer the			
14	conditions and other matters contain	ined in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disc	ciplinary Order.		
15	I approve its form and content.				
16	DATED: 1-20-12.	Original Signed			
17		Mark B. Chareman Attorney for Respondent			
18					
19	1 1				
20	7		100		
21					
22			te l		
23			EC		
24	5		160		
25		# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	1 2 1		
26			26		
27		H N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	1.00		
28			186		
	!				
	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (Board of	6 of Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors and Geologists	C 27 000 45		

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board for Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors, and Geologists of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

Dated: 1/20/17

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
KAREN B. CHAPPELLE
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

Original Signed

GEOFFREY WARD
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

LA2009603823 51064381_3,doc

б

Samp A north

Makeopedii

or the French see Of record the Beart for Darkesting Indigatery and Lead Sur-

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 877-A

1	Edmund G. Brown Jr.				
2	Attorney General of California KAREN B. CHAPPELLE				
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General CHRISTINA V. TUSAN				
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 192203				
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013				
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2643 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804				
7	Attorneys for Complainant				
8	BEFORE THE				
9	BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS				
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 877-A				
12	FLORENTINO BASIT FERRER 2268 Ceciana Drive A C C U S A T I O N				
13	Hacienda Heights, CA 91745 Land Surveyor License No. L 5775				
14	Respondent.				
15	- Respondent.				
16					
17	Complainant alleges:				
18	PARTIES				
19	1. David E. Brown (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity				
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors,				
21	Department of Consumer Affairs.				
22	2. On or about December 30, 1987, the Board for Professional Engineers and Land				
23	Surveyors issued Land Surveyor License Number L 5775 to Florentino Basit Ferrer				
24	(Respondent). The Land Surveyor License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the				
25	charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2012, unless renewed.				
26					
27					
28					
	1				

2001:51

JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated.
 - 5. Section 8765 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
 - "A record of survey is not required of any survey:
- (d) When the survey is a retracement of lines shown on a subdivision map, official map, or a record of survey, where no material discrepancies with those records are found and sufficient monumentation is found to establish the precise location of property corners thereon, provided that a corner record is filed for any property corners which are set or reset or found to be of a different character than indicated by prior records. For purposes of this subdivision, a "material discrepancy" is limited to a material discrepancy in the position of points or lines, or in dimensions."
 - 6. Section 8773, subdivision (a) of the Code, states:
- "(a) Except as provided in subdivision (b) of Section 8773.4, a person authorized to practice land surveying in this state shall complete, sign, stamp with his or her seal, and file with the county surveyor or engineer of the county where the corners are situated, a written record of corner establishment or restoration to be known as a 'corner record' for every corner established by the Survey of the Public Lands of the United States, except 'lost corners,' as defined by the Manual of Instructions for the Survey of the Public Lands of the United States, and every accessory to such corner which is found, set, reset, or used as control in any survey by such authorized person."
 - 7. Section 8780 of the Code states:

"The board may receive and investigate complaints against licensed land surveyors and registered civil engineers, and make findings thereon.

"By a majority vote, the board may reprove, suspend for a period not to exceed two years, or revoke the license or certificate of any licensed land surveyor or registered civil engineer, respectively, licensed under this chapter or registered under the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 6700), whom it finds to be guilty of:

- "(a) Any fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation in his or her practice of land surveying.
- "(b) Any negligence or incompetence in his or her practice of land surveying.
- "(c) Any fraud or deceit in obtaining his or her license.
- "(d) Any violation of any provision of this chapter or of any other law relating to or involving the practice of land surveying.
- "(e) Any conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a land surveyor. The record of the conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof.
 - "(f) Aiding or abetting any person in the violation of any provision of this chapter.
 - "(g) A breach or violation of a contract to provide land surveying services.
- "(h) A violation in the course of the practice of land surveying of a rule or regulation of unprofessional conduct adopted by the board."

REGULATIONS

- 8. California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 404 states, in pertinent part:
- "(n) For the sole purpose of investigating complaints and making findings thereon under Sections 6775 and 8780 of the Code, 'incompetence' as used in Sections 6775 and 8780 of the Code is defined as the lack of knowledge or ability in discharging professional obligations as a professional engineer or land surveyor."
- "(w) For the sole purpose of investigating complaints and making findings thereon under Sections 6775 and 8780 of the Code, 'negligence' as used in Sections 6775 and 8780 of the Code is defined as the failure of a licensee, in the practice of professional engineering or land surveying, to use the care ordinarily exercised in like cases by duly licensed professional engineers and land surveyors in good standing."

- 9. California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 404.2 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) The term 'responsible charge' directly relates to the extent of control a licensed land surveyor or civil engineer legally authorized to practice land surveying (hereinafter referred to as 'legally authorized civil engineer') is required to maintain while exercising independent control and direction of land surveying work or services, and the land surveying decisions which can be made only by a licensed land surveyor or legally authorized civil engineer.
- "(1) Extent of Control. The extent of control necessary to be in responsible charge shall be such that the land surveyor or legally authorized civil engineer:
- "(A) Makes or reviews and approves the land surveying decisions defined and described in subdivision (a)(2) below.
- "(B) In making or reviewing and approving the land surveying decisions, determines the applicability of survey criteria and technical recommendations provided by others before incorporating such criteria or recommendations.
- "(2) Land Surveying Decisions. The term 'responsible charge' relates to land surveying decisions within the purview of the Professional Land Surveyors' Act.

"Land surveying decisions which must be made by and are the responsibility of the land surveyor or legally authorized civil engineer in responsible charge are those decisions concerning permanent or temporary work which could create a hazard to life, health, property, or public welfare, and may include, but are not limited to:

- "(A) Selecting the methods, procedures, and tolerances of field work.
- "(B) Determining calculation and adjustment methods.
- "(C) Determining and specifying the information to be shown on maps or documents furnished in connection with land surveying services, including the format of the information and the format of the maps or documents.
- "(D) The decisions related to the preparation of maps, plats, land surveying reports, descriptions, and other land surveying documents furnished in connection with the land surveying services.
 - "(E) Reviewing the sufficiency and accuracy of the work product.

"(3) Reviewing and Approving Land Surveying Decisions. In making or reviewing and approving land surveying decisions, the land surveyor or legally authorized civil engineer shall be physically present or shall review and approve through the use of communication devices the land surveying decisions prior to their implementation.

"(b) Responsible Charge Criteria. In order to evaluate whether a person authorized to practice land surveying is in responsible charge, the following must be considered: The land surveyor or legally authorized civil engineer who signs surveying documents must be capable of answering questions asked by licensees of the Board who are fully competent and proficient by education and experience in the field or fields of professional land surveying relevant to the project. These questions would be relevant to the decisions made during the individual's participation in the project, and in sufficient detail to leave little question as to the land surveyor's or legally authorized civil engineer's technical knowledge of the work performed. It is not necessary to defend decisions as in an adversarial situation, but only to demonstrate that the individual in responsible charge made, or reviewed and approved, them and possessed sufficient knowledge of the project to make, or review and approve, them.

"Examples of questions to be answered by the land surveyor or legally authorized civil engineer could relate to criteria for measurement, surveying methods, analysis, and conclusions made including, but not limited to, the retracement of government surveys, interpretation and construction of deed descriptions, conflicts between construction drawings and actual conditions, determination of the proper control datum and epoch, application of proportion methods and analysis of evidence related to written and unwritten property rights. The individual shall be able to clearly express the extent of control and how it is exercised and to demonstrate that the land surveyor or legally authorized civil engineer is answerable within said extent of control."

- 10. California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 464, states, in pertinent part:
- "(c) The corner record shall be filed within 90 days from the date a corner was found, set, reset, or used as control in any survey. The provisions for extending the time limit shall be the same as provided for a record of survey in Section 8762 of the Code.

"(e) When conducting a survey which is a retracement of lines shown on a subdivision map, official map, or a record of survey, where no material discrepancies with these records are found and where sufficient monumentation is found to establish the precise location of property corners thereon, a corner record may be filed in lieu of a record of survey for any property corners which are set or reset or found to be of a different character than indicated by prior records. Such corner records may show one or more property corners, property controlling corners, reference monuments, or accessories to property corners on a single corner record document so long as it is legible, clear, and understandable."

COST RECOVERY

11. Code section 125.3 provides that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 12. In late 2004, Respondent was hired to prepare a Topographic Map and set property corners for a property located at 8321 Elusive Drive in Los Angeles County (Elusive Property) on behalf of Michael Massey (Massey). In November 2005, the Board received a telephone call from architect Alba Altmann, claiming that a corner record filed by Respondent for the Elusive Property was rejected and that a monument set by Respondent could not be identified.
- 13. Herman Arabian (Arabian), the owner of 1403 San Pablo Drive in Glendale, California (San Pablo Property) hired Respondent to draw property lines and driveway points on or about December 2006. Arabian paid Respondent \$1,800 between December 2006 and September 8, 2007 to draw property lines and driveway points. Arabian filed a complaint with the Board on December 12, 2007, alleging that Respondent had failed to draw the property lines and driveway points. Following Arabian's complaint to the Board, Respondent gave Arabian a refund of \$1,000 in March, 2008. On April 14, 2008, Respondent sent a letter to the Board stating he would try to get his \$1,000 back from Arabian based on his claim that Arabian had no complaints about his work.

///

14. On May 20, 2001, Respondent surveyed the property at 2584 Cascadia Drive (Cascadia Property) in Glendale California and prepared a Boundary and Topographical Survey for his client Viggen Gharibian. Respondent signed the survey on June 8, 2001. In April 2003, the Board learned that Respondent failed to place his surveyor's tags on the monuments he set and failed to file either a Record of Survey or corner record. Following contact by the Board, Respondent prepared a corner record and submitted it to the County Surveyor's Office on May 10, 2003.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Negligence and/or Incompetence)

15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 8780 subdivision (b) of the Code in that he failed to use the care ordinarily exercised in like cases by a duly licensed land surveyor in the practice of land surveying, or in the alternative, lacked the requisite knowledge and skill to discharge his duties.

ELUSIVE PROPERTY

- 16. The circumstances establishing that Respondent committed negligence and/or incompetence in violation of Code Section 8780, subdivision (b), in connection with the Elusive Property are as follows:
 - A. Respondent failed to find any of the 29 existing survey monuments that were on the 1954 centerline tie notes in his possession;
 - B. Respondent did not perform thorough research and failed to acquire the 1970 centerline tie notes that revealed 10 additional survey monuments;
 - C. Respondent did not follow the standard of care and search all of the lot corners in the tract for existing monuments, a matter that was critical given his failure to find the centerline monuments;
 - D. In three Record of Surveys (one recorded and two versions of a new Record of Survey) and one corner record, Respondent did not prove out or substantiate any of this property line establishments, which is part and parcel of surveying and preparing maps;

- E. Respondent's first recorded Record of Survey (First Record) 190/53 is in error by3.0 to 4.5 feet;
- F. Following notification by the Board about errors in his survey, Respondent prepared a Second Record of Survey (Second Record). The Second Record failed to show the work done in connection with his First Record, concealed his failure to set two of the survey monuments on the first recorded Record of Survey, and failed to document the basis for the difference between the two recorded surveys;
- G. The Second Record failed to include sufficient substantiation or proving out that the property lines were in the correct location. It included only measured distances versus recorded distances at four places on the map. Recorded distances should have been shown in comparison with measured distances for every course on the map. Respondent also improperly relied upon the Compass Rule in his Second Record;
- H. Respondent's Second Record failed to substantial or include lines of occupation in connection with material property line changes;
- Respondent's Second Record states "Search Found Nothing" in an area where his
 prior map established corner points and where monuments were established in his
 previous map; and
- J. After Respondent was notified by the Board that his Second Record was insufficient, Respondent prepared a second version of the second report. This version was also insufficient.

SAN PABLO PROPERTY

- 17. The circumstances establishing that Respondent committed negligence and/or incompetence in violation of Code Section 8780, subdivision (b), in connection with the San Pablo property in Glendale, California are as follows:
 - Respondent had no written contract to conduct work on the San Pablo Property;
 - D. Respondent was unable to answer questions concerning his survey technique and did not perform his boundary survey using ordinary care;

- E. Respondent's responses to the Board's inquiry suggests that he may never have seen calculations in connection with the driveway staking, that he failed to retain filed notes, coordinates, closure calculations, and failed to retain evidence the survey is correct and defensible;
- F. Respondent failed to show both record and measured distances in a sketch provided to the Board. Respondent also failed to show how his client's property fits with adjoining properties;
- G. Respondent's topographic map, which is unsigned and unsealed, does not contain sufficient information regarding existing wall locations;
- H. Respondent failed to timely submit a legal description in connection with the
 Board's inquiry concerning the San Pablo Property; and
- Respondent failed to property communicate his survey procedure to Board and lacked the ability to answer simple and direct questions related to his work on the San Pablo Property.

CASCADIA PROPERTY

- 18. The circumstances establishing that Respondent committed negligence and/or incompetence in violation of Code Section 8780, subdivision (b), in connection with the Cascadia Property are as follows:
 - A. Respondent failed to tag his monuments in accordance with Code section 8772 and failed to prepare and submit a corner record within 90 days as required by Code section 8773.1 and California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 464, subdivision (c);
 - B. Respondent's late submitted corner record was missing descriptions of four found monuments. After the Board advised the surveyor's office of these problems, the county surveyor had Respondent come in to add these descriptions on or about November 7, 2007. Even after that meeting, Respondent's corner record was still deficient as it did not show any record bearings or distances along with found

1	1.	Revoking or suspending Land	Surveyor License Number L 5775, issued to Florentino		
2	Basit Ferrer;				
3	2. Ordering Florentino Basit Ferrer to pay the Board for Professional Engineers and				
4	Land Surveyors the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to				
5	Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and				
6	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.				
7	DATED: _	60/6/10	Original Signed		
8			DAVID E. BROWN Executive Officer		
9			Board for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors Department of Consumer Affairs		
10			State of California Complainant		
11					
12	LA2009603823				
13	50706536.dd	oc			
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
- 1			11		